

HIGHEST WEIGHT CATEGORIES AND STRICT POLYNOMIAL FUNCTORS

HENNING KRAUSE

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Sandy Green.

ABSTRACT. Highest weight categories are described in terms of standard objects and recollements of abelian categories, working over an arbitrary commutative base ring. Then the highest weight structure for categories of strict polynomial functors is explained, using the theory of Schur and Weyl functors. A consequence is the well-known fact that Schur algebras are quasi-hereditary.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Part 1. Highest Weight categories	2
1. k -linear highest weight categories	2
2. Ringel duality	9
Part 2. Strict polynomial functors	13
3. Divided powers and strict polynomial functors	14
4. Schur and Weyl functors	17
5. Weight spaces and (co)standard objects	19
6. The Cauchy decomposition	23
7. Highest weight structure	25
8. Characteristic tilting objects and Ringel duality	26
Acknowledgements	27
References	27

INTRODUCTION

Highest weight categories and quasi-hereditary algebras were introduced in a series of papers by Cline, Parshall, and Scott [7, 31, 39]. A classical example are polynomial representations of general linear groups which are equivalent to modules over Schur algebras [18], and these are well-known to be quasi-hereditary algebras [9, 11]. These notes present an alternative approach to this subject, and a distinctive feature is that we work over an arbitrary commutative base ring.

The first part is devoted to giving descriptions of highest weight categories in terms of standard objects (Theorem 1.6) and recollements of abelian categories (Theorem 1.7). Also, we discuss Ringel duality which is based on the notion of a characteristic tilting object [34], and we establish a precise connection with Serre duality (Theorem 2.15).

Date: December 22, 2015.

The filtration of a highest weight category via recollements of abelian categories induces a filtration of the corresponding derived category via recollements of triangulated categories (Proposition 1.9). Such filtrations of derived categories provide a somewhat characteristic property of highest weight categories and have been studied extensively [22].

For another interesting approach towards highest weight categories via A_∞ -categories and bocses we refer to recent work in [24, 28].

In the second part of these notes we explain the highest weight structure for categories of strict polynomial functors [16], working over an arbitrary commutative ring and using some of the principal results from the theory of Schur and Weyl functors [1]. The essential ingredients of the highest weight structure are:

- The Weyl functors are precisely the standard objects (Theorem 5.8).
- The Cauchy decomposition provides a filtration of any projective object whose associated graded object is a direct sum of Weyl functors (Corollary 6.3).
- The exterior powers provide a characteristic tilting object and the category of strict polynomial functors is Ringel self-dual (Theorem 8.6).

The material in the second part is elementary, based to a large extent on classical facts from multilinear algebra. The language of strict polynomial functors is employed because of its flexibility. Evaluating strict polynomial functors at a free module of finite rank makes it easy to transfer this work to the representation theory of Schur algebras; for an explicit discussion we recommend Hashimoto's notes [20].

Part 1. Highest Weight categories

1. k -LINEAR HIGHEST WEIGHT CATEGORIES

Highest weight categories were introduced by Cline, Parshall, and Scott [7, 31]. The original definition is formulated in the setting of abelian length categories. Versions for k -linear exact categories have been considered by various authors [14, 15, 35].

From now on we fix a commutative ring k . We consider additive categories that are k -linear. This means the morphism sets are k -modules, and the composition maps are k -linear.

For a ring Λ , let $\text{Mod } \Lambda$ denote the category of (right) Λ -modules and let $\text{proj } \Lambda$ denote the full subcategory of finitely generated projective Λ -modules.

k -linear exact categories. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an exact category [33]. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category and the exact structure of $\mathcal{A}$ is given by a distinguished class of *exact sequences* $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$ which are kernel-cokernel pairs and sometimes called *admissible*.

We recall from [23, Appendix A] a useful construction. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is essentially small and let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the category of left exact functors $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ into the category of abelian groups. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Grothendieck abelian category and the Yoneda functor

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \quad X \mapsto h_X = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, X)$$

is fully faithful and exact, inducing a bijection

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}^1(h_X, h_Y).$$

Note that any exact functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ extends uniquely to an exact and colimit preserving functor $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ (the left adjoint of the functor $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ given by precomposition with f).

Recall that an object P in $\mathcal{A}$ is *projective* if for every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$ the induced map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, Z)$ is surjective. The object P is a *generator* if for every object X there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow P^r \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ for some positive integer r .

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ admits a projective generator P and set $\Lambda = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$. Then evaluation at P induces an equivalence $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod} \Lambda$.*

Conversely, if $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Mod} \Gamma$ for some ring Γ , then the equivalence identifies Γ with a projective generator of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Sending a Λ -module M to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, -), M)$ gives a quasi-inverse $\mathrm{Mod} \Lambda \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. For the converse observe that any functor in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is the epimorphic image of a direct sum of representable functors. Thus Γ identifies with a direct summand of a finite direct sum of representables. $\square$

For a k -algebra Λ we denote by $\mathrm{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ the category of Λ -modules that are finitely generated projective over k . This is a k -linear exact category where a sequence is by definition exact if it is split exact when restricted to the category of k -modules. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_k(-, k)$ induces a k -linear equivalence

$$\mathrm{mod}(\Lambda, k)^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{mod}(\Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}, k).$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ admits a projective generator P and set $\Lambda = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$. If $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X)$ is finitely generated projective over k for all X in $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, -)$ and evaluation at P make the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, -)} & \mathrm{mod}(\Lambda, k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Mod} \Lambda \end{array}$$

All functors are fully faithful and exact, but the top one need not be an equivalence.

Recollements. We recall the definition of a recollement using the standard notation [3, 1.4].

Definition 1.2. A *recollement* of abelian (triangulated) categories is a diagram of functors

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}' & \xleftarrow{i^*} & \mathcal{A} & \xleftarrow{j_!} & \mathcal{A}'' \\ & \xrightarrow{i_* = i_!} & & \xrightarrow{j^! = j^*} & \\ & \xleftarrow{i^!} & & \xleftarrow{j_*} & \end{array}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) i_* and j^* are exact functors of abelian (triangulated) categories.
- (2) (i^*, i_*) , (j^*, j_*) , $(i_!, i^!)$, and $(j_!, j^!)$ are adjoint pairs.
- (3) i_* , j_* , and $j_!$ are fully faithful functors.
- (4) An object in $\mathcal{A}$ is annihilated by j^* iff it is in the essential image of i_* .

The recollement is called *homological* if the functor i_* induces for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $p \geq 0$ isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}'}^p(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^p(i_*(X), i_*(Y)).$$

A diagram (1.1) without the left adjoints i^* and $j_!$ that satisfies all the relevant conditions of a recollement is called *localisation sequence*. Analogously, a diagram (1.1) without the right adjoints $i^!$ and j_* that satisfies all the relevant conditions of a recollement is called *colocalisation sequence*.

Given a recollement (1.1) and an object X in $\mathcal{A}$, we have the counit $j_!j^!(X) \rightarrow X$ and the unit $X \rightarrow i_*i^*(X)$. These fit into an exact sequence

$$j_!j^!(X) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow i_*i^*(X) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\mathcal{A} \text{ abelian})$$

and an exact triangle

$$j_!j^!(X) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow i_*i^*(X) \longrightarrow \quad (\mathcal{A} \text{ triangulated}).$$

k -linear highest weight categories. We give the definition of a highest weight category relative to a base ring k , following closely Rouquier [35]. We assume that the set of weights is finite and totally ordered, leaving the generalisation to locally finite posets to the interested reader.

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear exact category. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ for some k -algebra Λ that is finitely generated projective over k . Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called *k -linear highest weight category* if there are finitely many exact sequences

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow U_i \longrightarrow P_i \longrightarrow \Delta_i \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

in $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following:

- (1) $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i) \cong k$ for all i .
- (2) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) = 0$ for all $i > j$.
- (3) U_i belongs to $\text{Filt}(\Delta_{i+1}, \dots, \Delta_n)$ for all i .
- (4) $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_i$ is a projective generator of $\mathcal{A}$.

The objects $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ are called *standard objects*.

Note that the sequence (1.2) implies

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq j.$$

The structure of a highest weight category is determined by the ordered set of standard objects; see Theorem 1.6. Thus an *equivalence* of highest weight categories is an equivalence of categories which preserves standard objects and their ordering.

Following [8] we call a k -algebra *split quasi-hereditary* if it is the endomorphism ring of a projective generator of a k -linear highest weight category. Later we will see that the standard objects $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ in $\text{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ give rise to a sequence of surjective algebra homomorphisms

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_0 = 0$$

which makes it possible to define split quasi-hereditary algebras in terms of ideal chains.

Standardisation. We give an axiomatic description of the standard objects of a highest weight category.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an exact category and fix a sequence of objects $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$. We consider the following conditions:

- ($\Delta 1$) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) = 0$ for all $i > j$.
- ($\Delta 2$) $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) = 0$ for all $i \geq j$.
- ($\Delta 3$) $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, \Delta_j)$ is finitely generated over $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_j)^{\text{op}}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$.

For a ring Λ let $\text{free } \Lambda$ denote the category of free Λ -modules of finite rank.

Lemma 1.4. *Suppose that (Δ_1) – (Δ_2) hold and set $\Gamma_t = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_t)$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$. Then the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_t, -)$ induces a colocalisation sequence*

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{t-1}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i^*} \\ \xrightarrow{i_*} \end{array} \text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_t) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j_!} \\ \xrightarrow{j^!} \end{array} \text{free } \Gamma_t$$

and all functors are exact. Each X in $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_t)$ fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow j_! j^!(X) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow i_* i^*(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The object Δ_t is projective in $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_t)$. An induction on the length of a filtration of an object X in $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_t)$ yields some $r \geq 0$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ with X' in $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{t-1})$ and $X'' \cong \Delta_t^r$. To see this, let $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Delta_j \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_t)$. The assertion for X follows from that for Y . This is immediate if $j < t$ because we take $X'' = Y''$. Otherwise, $X \cong Y \oplus \Delta_t$ and we can add $0 \rightarrow \Delta_t \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \Delta_t \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$ to the exact sequence for Y .

Now set $i^*(X) = X'$. Also, set $j^!(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_t, X)$ and $j_!(\Gamma_t^r) = \Delta_t^r$. This gives $i_* i^*(X) \cong X'$ and $j_! j^!(X) \cong X''$. The exactness is obvious for the functors i_* , $j^!$, and $j_!$. For i^* it follows from the snake lemma. $\square$

Lemma 1.5. *Suppose that (Δ_2) – (Δ_3) hold. Then there are exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow U_t \longrightarrow P_t \longrightarrow \Delta_t \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1 \leq t \leq n)$$

in $\mathcal{A}$ such that U_t belongs to $\text{Filt}(\Delta_{t+1}, \dots, \Delta_n)$ for all t and $\bigoplus_{t=1}^n P_t$ is a projective generator of $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$.

Proof. See [26, Lemma 5.8]. $\square$

The following result characterises the standard objects of a k -linear highest weight category and is an analogue of a result of Dlab and Ringel [10, Theorem 2]. This gives rise to an alternative definition of highest weight categories which does not involve the choice of exact sequences.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear exact category and assume that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, Y)$ is finitely generated over k for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then a sequence of objects $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ in $\mathcal{A}$ identifies with the standard objects $\Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n$ of a k -linear highest weight category $\mathcal{A}'$ via an exact equivalence*

$$\mathcal{A} \supseteq \text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}(\Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n) \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$$

if and only if the following holds:

- (1) $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i) \cong k$ for all i .
- (2) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) = 0$ for all $i > j$.
- (3) $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) = 0$ for all $i \geq j$.
- (4) The endomorphism ring of a projective generator of $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$ is finitely generated projective over k .

Proof. Clearly, the standard objects of a k -linear highest weight category satisfy the above properties. In order to show the converse choose any projective generator P of $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$ which exists by Lemma 1.5. We claim that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X)$ is finitely generated projective over k for all X in $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$. Then the assertion of the theorem follows because we can choose $\mathcal{A}' = \text{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ for $\Lambda = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$, thanks to Lemma 1.5.

The claim is shown by induction on n . We use the colocalisation sequence (1.3) for $i = n$. Given X in $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$ set $X' = i_* i^*(X)$ and $X'' = j_! j^!(X)$. Note

that P' is a projective generator of $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1})$. The claim follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X'') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X') \rightarrow 0.$$

The k -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X') \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P', X')$ is finitely generated projective by induction, and (4) implies that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X'')$ is finitely generated projective. $\square$

Recollements. The following result characterises k -linear highest weight categories in terms of recollements; it is the analogue of a result for abelian length categories [26, 31]. We need to involve the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ of an exact category $\mathcal{A}$, because there is in general no reason for the existence of recollements on the level of subcategories of $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear exact category. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ for some k -algebra Λ that is finitely generated projective over k . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The category $\mathcal{A}$ is a k -linear highest weight category.*
- (2) *There is a finite chain of full exact subcategories*

$$0 = \mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}$$

such that each inclusion $\mathcal{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$ induces a homological recollement of abelian categories

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}_{i-1}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \widehat{\mathcal{A}_i} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Mod } k \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A}_{i-1} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}_{i-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_i.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.7 provides for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ a k -algebra Λ_i such that $\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod}(\Lambda_i, k)$ and a surjective algebra homomorphism $\Lambda_i \rightarrow \Lambda_{i-1}$.

Proof. Fix a projective generator P of $\mathcal{A}$ and set $\Lambda = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$. We identify $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ via $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, -)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mod } \Lambda$ via evaluation at P ; see Lemma 1.1.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a k -linear highest weight category satisfying the conditions in Definition 1.3, and we may assume $P = \bigoplus_i P_i$. We give a recursive construction of a chain

$$0 = \mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}$$

of full subcategories satisfying the conditions in (2). To this end consider the colocalisation sequence (1.3) for $i = n$. The left adjoint

$$\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \longrightarrow \text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1})$$

takes the object P to a projective generator $\bar{P}$ of $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1})$. We set

$$\mathcal{A}_{n-1} = \{X \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_n, X) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{n-1} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{P}).$$

Note that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{P}, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X)$ is finitely generated projective over k for all X in $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. Also, it is easily checked that $\bar{P}$ is a projective generator of $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. Thus $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{P}, -)$ yields an equivalence $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod}(\Lambda_{n-1}, k)$. It follows from Theorem 1.6 that $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ is a highest weight category with standard objects $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1}$. We have $\widehat{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mod } \Lambda_{n-1}$ by Lemma 1.1, and the functor $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Delta_n, -): \text{Mod } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Mod } k$ induces the following recollement.

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Mod } \Lambda_{n-1} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Mod } \Lambda \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Mod } k$$

This recollement is homological by [26, Proposition A.1], because for each projective object X in $\text{Mod } \Lambda$ the counit $j_! j^!(X) \rightarrow X$ is a monomorphism by Lemma 1.4.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Fix a chain of full subcategories $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the conditions in (2). We show by induction on n that $\mathcal{A}$ is a highest weight category. Let Δ_n denote the image of k under the left adjoint $\text{Mod } k \rightarrow \text{Mod } \Lambda$. Clearly, $\text{End}_\Lambda(\Delta_n) \cong k$ and Δ_n is a finitely generated projective Λ -module that belongs therefore to $\mathcal{A}$. The inclusion $\widehat{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}} \rightarrow \text{Mod } \Lambda$ identifies $\widehat{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}}$ with $\text{Mod } \Lambda/\mathfrak{a}$ for some idempotent ideal $\mathfrak{a}$; see [2, Proposition 7.1]. More precisely, the left adjoint of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}} \rightarrow \text{Mod } \Lambda$ sends Λ to $\Lambda/\mathfrak{a}$ which is a projective generator of $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ by Lemma 1.1. In particular, $\Lambda_{n-1} = \Lambda/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated projective over k and $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} = \text{mod}(\Lambda_{n-1}, k)$. The induction hypothesis for $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ yields a collection of exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \bar{U}_i \longrightarrow \bar{P}_i \longrightarrow \Delta_i \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1 \leq i < n)$$

and we modify them as follows to obtain exact sequences (1.2). Using the fact that extension groups of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ are finitely generated over k , we can form the universal extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \Delta_n^r \longrightarrow P_i \longrightarrow \bar{P}_i \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathcal{A}$; that is, the induced map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_n^r, \Delta_n) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\bar{P}_i, \Delta_n)$ is surjective. A standard argument (as in the proof of Lemma 1.5) shows that P_i is a projective object. Forming the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \Delta_n^r & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Delta_n^r & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & U_i & \longrightarrow & P_i & \longrightarrow & \Delta_i \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{U}_i & \longrightarrow & \bar{P}_i & \longrightarrow & \Delta_i \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

we get exact sequences (1.2) with U_i in $\text{Filt}(\Delta_{i+1}, \dots, \Delta_n)$, where $P_n := \Delta_n$ and $U_n := 0$. It remains to observe that $\bigoplus_i P_i$ is a projective generator of $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Properties of k -linear highest weight categories. We formulate some consequences of Theorem 1.7. To this end fix a k -linear highest weight category $\mathcal{A} = \text{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ with chain of subcategories

$$0 = \mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_i = \text{mod}(\Lambda_i, k).$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we identify $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i) = k$. Then the functor

$$\mathcal{A}_i \longrightarrow \text{proj } k, \quad X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_i}(\Delta_i, X) \cong X \otimes_{\Lambda_i} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_i}(\Delta_i, \Lambda_i)$$

admits the left adjoint $- \otimes_k \Delta_i$ and the right adjoint $\text{Hom}_k(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda_i}(\Delta_i, \Lambda_i), -)$. This yields the following diagram of exact functors

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{A}_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{A}_i \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{proj } k$$

which one may think of as an incomplete recollement.

The standard object Δ_i equals the image of k under the left adjoint $\text{proj } k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$ while the *costandard object* ∇_i is by definition the image of k under the right adjoint $\text{proj } k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$. In particular we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\nabla_j, \nabla_i) = 0 \quad (i > j) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\nabla_j, \nabla_i) = 0 \quad (i \geq j).$$

Proposition 1.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear highest weight category. Then the category $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is a k -linear highest weight category.*

Proof. We identify $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} = \text{mod}(\Lambda^{\text{op}}, k)$ and use the duality $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$. Set $\Delta'_i = \text{Hom}_k(\nabla_i, k)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Using Theorem 1.6 it is easily checked that $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is a k -linear highest weight category with standard objects $\Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n$. $\square$

We observe that the duality $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$ induces an equivalence

$$(1.6) \quad \text{mod}(\Lambda, k)^{\text{op}} \supseteq \text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n) \subseteq \text{mod}(\Lambda^{\text{op}}, k)$$

which maps each Δ_i to ∇_i .

For a ring Λ we write $\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda) = \mathbf{D}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ for the category of perfect complexes over Λ .

Proposition 1.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear highest weight category and let Λ denote the endomorphism ring of a projective generator. Then we have a triangle equivalence $\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ and each inclusion $\mathcal{A}_{t-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_t$ induces a recollement of triangulated categories*

$$\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}_{t-1}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}_t) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(k).$$

Proof. The diagram (1.5) induces the recollement of derived categories. For the right half of the diagram, this is clear since all functors are exact. The inclusion $\mathcal{A}_{t-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_t$ induces a fully faithful functor $i_*: \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}_{t-1}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}_t)$ because the recollement in Theorem 1.7 is homological. We obtain the left adjoint of i_* by completing the counit $j_! j^!(X) \rightarrow X$ to an exact triangle in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}_t)$, and analogously the right adjoint by completing the unit $X \rightarrow j_* j^*(X)$.

Using these recollements, an induction shows that each inclusion $\text{proj } \Lambda_t \rightarrow \text{mod}(\Lambda_t, k) = \mathcal{A}_t$ induces a triangle equivalence $\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda_t) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}_t)$. The functor is exact and fully faithful; so we show by induction that Λ_t generates $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}_t)$ as a triangulated category.

Each object $X \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}_t)$ fits into an exact triangle $j_! j^!(X) \rightarrow X \rightarrow i_* i^*(X) \rightarrow$, and we claim that $j_! j^!(X)$ and $i_* i^*(X)$ belong to $\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda_t)$. This is clear for $j_! j^!(X)$, because it is generated by Δ_t which is a finitely generated projective Λ_t -module. On the other hand, we have an exact triangle $\Delta_t^r \rightarrow \Lambda_t \rightarrow \Lambda_{t-1} \rightarrow$ for some $r \geq 0$ by Lemma 1.4, and therefore Λ_{t-1} is in $\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda_t)$. Thus $i_* i^*(X)$ belongs to $\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda_{t-1}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda_t)$ by the induction hypothesis. $\square$

Let $\text{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$ denote the idempotent completion of $\text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$.

Corollary 1.10. *The sequence of inclusion functors*

$$\text{proj } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

induces triangle equivalences

$$\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^b(\text{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}).$$

Analogously, the inclusion $\text{Filt}^{\oplus}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ induces a triangle equivalence

$$\mathbf{D}^b(\text{Filt}^{\oplus}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. The argument for the inclusion $\text{proj } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Filt}^\oplus(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$ is precisely that given for $\text{proj } \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ in Proposition 1.9, using the derived version of the colocalisation sequence (1.3). The assertion for $\text{Filt}^\oplus(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n)$ follows from the first part by duality since $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$ maps Δ_i to ∇_i . $\square$

Remark 1.11. The triangle equivalence $\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ implies that every object in $\mathcal{A}$ has finite projective and finite injective dimension.

2. RINGEL DUALITY

There is a special class of tilting modules for any quasi-hereditary artin algebra which Ringel introduced in [34]. This was later extended to highest weight categories over more general base rings [12, 35].

Let k be a commutative ring. We fix a k -linear highest weight category $\mathcal{A}$ with standard objects $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ and costandard objects $\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n$. To simplify notation we set

$$\text{Filt}(\Delta) = \text{Filt}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Filt}(\nabla) = \text{Filt}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n).$$

Given any set $X_1, \dots, X_t$ of objects in $\mathcal{A}$, we write $\text{Filt}^\oplus(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ for the closure of $\text{Filt}(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ under direct summands.

Ext-orthogonality. We compute the extensions groups between standard and costandard objects. The first lemma is an immediate consequence of the definition of a highest weight category.

Lemma 2.1. *For $1 \leq s, t \leq n$ and $p \geq 0$ we have*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^p(\Delta_s, \nabla_t) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } s = t \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We use induction on n . For $s, t < n$ the assertion follows by induction, because $\Delta_s, \nabla_t \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}$ induces a homological recollement; see Theorem 1.7. If $s = n$ or $t = n$, then we use the fact that Δ_n is projective and ∇_n is injective. This gives the assertion for $p > 0$. For $p = 0$ we use the recollement (1.4). In fact, $\Delta_n = j_!(k)$ and $\nabla_n = j_*(k)$. Thus $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_n, \nabla_n) \cong k$ by adjointness. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *For $X \in \text{Filt}^\oplus(\Delta)$ and $Y \in \text{Filt}^\oplus(\nabla)$ we have $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^p(X, Y) = 0$ for all $p > 0$ and the k -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ is finitely generated projective.* $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a highest weight category. For X in $\mathcal{A}$ we have:*

- (1) $X \in \text{Filt}^\oplus(\Delta)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, \nabla_t) = 0$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$.
- (2) $X \in \text{Filt}^\oplus(\nabla)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\Delta_t, X) = 0$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$.

Proof. We prove (1) and the proof of (2) is dual. One direction is clear by Corollary 2.2. Thus assume that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, \nabla_t) = 0$ for all t . We use induction on n and consider the recollement (1.4). First observe that the counit $j_!j^!(X) \rightarrow X$ is a monomorphism. To see this, fix an injective cogenerator Q of $\mathcal{A}$. Note that Q belongs to $\text{Filt}^\oplus(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n)$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow i_*i^*(Q) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow j_!j^!(Q) \longrightarrow 0$$

which induces the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, i_! i^!(Q)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Q) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, j_* j^*(Q)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(j_! j^!(X), i_! i^!(Q)) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(j_! j^!(X), Q) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(j_! j^!(X), j_* j^*(Q)) \rightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(j_! j^!(X), i_! i^!(Q)) = 0$ and the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, j_* j^*(Q)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(j_! j^!(X), j_* j^*(Q))$$

is a bijection by adjointness. Thus the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Q) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(j_! j^!(X), Q)$$

is surjective. It follows that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow j_! j^!(X) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow i_* i^*(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

given by the unit and counit for X is exact. The object $X' = i_* i^*(X)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ and satisfies again $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X', \nabla_t) = 0$ for all t . Thus X' belongs to $\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n-1})$ by induction. It follows that X belongs to $\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$. $\square$

Remark 2.4. A consequence of Proposition 2.3 is the fact that the subcategory $\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under taking kernels of epimorphisms.

Tilting objects. We describe the special tilting objects for a k -linear highest weight category.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear highest weight category with costandard objects $\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n$. Then there are finitely many exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow V_i \longrightarrow T_i \longrightarrow \nabla_i \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

in $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following:

- (1) V_i belongs to $\mathrm{Filt}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_{i-1})$ for all i .
- (2) $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_i$ is a projective generator of $\mathrm{Filt}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n)$.
- (3) $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ is finitely generated projective over k .

Proof. The costandard objects satisfy $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\nabla_j, \nabla_i) = 0$ for all $i \geq j$ because of the duality (1.6). Now apply Lemma 1.5. The object T belongs to $\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$ by Proposition 2.3. Thus $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ is finitely generated projective over k by Corollary 2.2. $\square$

We formulate some immediate consequences of Proposition 2.5.

For an object X in an additive category we denote by $\mathrm{add} X$ the full subcategory whose objects are the direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of X .

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear highest weight category. For an object T in $\mathcal{A}$ the following are equivalent:*

- (1) T is a projective generator of $\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\nabla)$.
- (2) T is an injective cogenerator of $\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta)$.
- (3) $\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta) \cap \mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\nabla) = \mathrm{add} T$.

Proof. Combine Propositions 2.3 and 2.5. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear highest weight category $\mathcal{A}$ with costandard objects $\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n$ and fix a projective generator T of $\text{Filt}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n)$. Set $\Lambda' = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ and $\Delta'_i = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, \nabla_{n-i})$. Then $\text{mod}(\Lambda', k)$ is a k -linear highest weight category with standard objects $\Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, -)$ induces an equivalence*

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Filt}(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}(\Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n)$$

of exact categories. $\square$

The highest weight category $\text{mod}(\Lambda', k)$ in Corollary 2.7 is called the *Ringel dual* of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ for some k -split quasi-hereditary algebra Λ , then the quasi-hereditary algebra Λ' is called the *Ringel dual* of Λ ; it is unique only up to Morita equivalence.

Proposition 2.8. *Let Λ be a k -split quasi-hereditary algebra. The double Ringel dual $\Lambda'' = (\Lambda')'$ is Morita equivalent to Λ . The equivalence identifies the standard modules over Λ'' and Λ .*

Proof. We have equivalences

$$\text{Filt}(\Lambda'') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}(\Lambda') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}(\Delta')^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}(\nabla)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}(\Delta)$$

of exact categories. Restricting this equivalence to the full subcategories of projective objects yields an equivalence $\text{proj } \Lambda'' \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{proj } \Lambda$. $\square$

Recall that an object T of an exact category $\mathcal{A}$ is a *tilting object* if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^p(T, T) = 0$ for $p > 0$ and $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ admits no proper thick subcategory containing T . An equivalent statement is that $\mathbf{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, -)$ induces a triangle equivalence $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(T))$. In that case a quasi-inverse is denoted by $-\otimes_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(T)}^{\mathbf{L}} T$.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear highest weight category $\mathcal{A}$. Then a projective generator of $\text{Filt}(\nabla)$ is a tilting object of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Fix a projective generator T and set $\Lambda' = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$. Then the sequence of fully faithful exact functors

$$\text{proj } \Lambda' \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{add } T \rightarrow \text{Filt}^{\oplus}(\nabla) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

induces a triangle equivalence

$$\mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^b(\text{Filt}^{\oplus}(\nabla)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$$

which is a quasi-inverse of $\mathbf{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, -)$; this follows from Corollary 1.10. $\square$

For a k -linear highest weight category $\mathcal{A}$ an object T satisfying the equivalent conditions in Corollary 2.6 is called *characteristic tilting object*.

Tor-orthogonality. Ext-orthogonality for modules over a quasi-hereditary algebra translates into Tor-orthogonality. To see this we need to recall some standard isomorphisms for derived functors.

Lemma 2.10. *Let Λ be a k -algebra and X, Y be complexes of Λ -modules. Then there are natural morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} X \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{RHom}_k(Y, k) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{RHom}_k(\mathbf{RHom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y), k) \\ Y \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{RHom}(X, \Lambda) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{RHom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

which are isomorphisms when X is perfect. $\square$

Proposition 2.11. *Let Λ be a k -split quasi-hereditary algebra. For*

$$X \in \text{Filt}^\oplus(\Delta) \subseteq \text{mod}(\Lambda, k) \quad \text{and} \quad Y \in \text{Filt}^\oplus(\Delta) \subseteq \text{mod}(\Lambda^{\text{op}}, k)$$

we have

$$\text{Tor}_p^\Lambda(X, Y) = 0 \quad \text{for } p > 0.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.2 with the first isomorphism in Lemma 2.10, since $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$ induces an equivalence $\text{Filt}^\oplus(\nabla) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}^\oplus(\Delta)$; see (1.6). $\square$

Serre duality. Let Λ be a k -algebra that is finitely generated projective over k . Then the Λ -module $\text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)$ is an injective cogenerator of $\text{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ and plays the role of a dualising complex.

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose that the Λ -module $\text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)$ has finite projective dimension. Then*

$$F = - \otimes_\Lambda^{\mathbf{L}} \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k) : \mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda)$$

is a Serre functor in the sense that F is a triangle equivalence and

$$\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_k(\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, -), k) \cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, F(X)) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda).$$

Proof. Using the standard isomorphisms from Lemma 2.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_k(\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, -), k) &\cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_k(- \otimes_\Lambda^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, \Lambda), k) \\ &\cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_k(\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, \Lambda), k)) \\ &\cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, X \otimes_\Lambda^{\mathbf{L}} \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)) \end{aligned}$$

and a quasi-inverse of F is given by $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k), -)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.13. *Let Λ be a k -split quasi-hereditary algebra. Then*

$$- \otimes_\Lambda^{\mathbf{L}} \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k) : \mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda)$$

is a Serre functor.

Proof. Combine Lemma 2.12 with the fact that $\text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)$ has finite projective dimension; see Remark 1.11. $\square$

Serre duality and Ringel duality are closely related for a quasi-hereditary algebra. The following proposition provides the first step for explaining this.

Proposition 2.14. *Let Λ be a k -split quasi-hereditary algebra with characteristic tilting module T and set $\Gamma = \text{End}_\Lambda(T)$. Then $\text{Hom}_k(T, k)$ is a characteristic tilting module over both Γ and Λ^{op} , with canonical isomorphisms*

$$\text{End}_\Gamma(\text{Hom}_k(T, k)) \cong \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \text{End}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(\text{Hom}_k(T, k)) \cong \Gamma^{\text{op}}.$$

Moreover, T is a characteristic tilting module over Γ^{op} with $\text{End}_{\Gamma^{\text{op}}}(T) \cong \Lambda^{\text{op}}$.

Proof. For an exact category $\mathcal{A}$ we write $\text{proj } \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{inj } \mathcal{A}$ to denote the full subcategories of projective and injective objects, respectively.

The equivalence (2.1) given by $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(T, -)$ restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{inj}(\text{Filt}^\oplus(\nabla)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{inj}(\text{Filt}^\oplus(\Delta)) = \text{add } T$$

and sends $\text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)$ to

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(T, \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)) \cong \text{Hom}_k(T, k).$$

Thus $\text{Hom}_k(T, k)$ is a characteristic tilting module over Γ with

$$\text{End}_\Gamma(\text{Hom}_k(T, k)) \cong \text{End}_\Lambda(\text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)) \cong \Lambda.$$

On the other hand, the equivalence (1.6) given by $\mathrm{Hom}_k(-, k)$ restricts to an equivalence

$$(\mathrm{add} T)^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathrm{inj}(\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\Delta))^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{proj}(\mathrm{Filt}^{\oplus}(\nabla)).$$

Thus $\mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k)$ is a characteristic tilting module over Λ^{op} with

$$\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k)) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}(T)^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

The assertion for the Γ^{op} -module T now follows since $T \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k), k)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.15. *Let Λ be a k -split quasi-hereditary algebra with characteristic tilting module T and set $\Gamma = \mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}(T)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k) \otimes_{\Gamma} T \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k) \otimes_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{L}} T \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)$$

as Λ - Λ -bimodules. Therefore the composite

$$\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k)} \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{L}} T} \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\Lambda)$$

is a Serre functor.

Proof. We apply Proposition 2.14. The modules T and $\mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k)$ over Γ are characteristic tilting modules; this yields the first isomorphism by Proposition 2.11. The second isomorphism follows from Lemma 2.10. The description of the Serre functor then follows by Lemma 2.12. $\square$

Ringel self-dual algebras. The connection between Serre duality and Ringel duality is of particular interest for a quasi-hereditary algebra that is Ringel self-dual.

Definition 2.16. We say that a quasi-hereditary algebra Λ is *Ringel self-dual* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (1) The highest weight category $\mathrm{mod}(\Lambda, k)$ is equivalent to its Ringel dual.
- (2) There is a characteristic tilting module T over Λ and an isomorphism $\Lambda' = \mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda$ which identifies the standard modules over Λ' and Λ .

The following description of Ringel duality as a square root of Serre duality is inspired by a result for strict polynomial functors [25] and a similar result in the context of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand category $\mathcal{O}$ [30].

Let us fix for a Ringel self-dual algebra Λ a characteristic tilting module T as in the above definition and identify $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}(T) = \Lambda$. This turns T and $\mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k)$ into Λ - Λ -bimodules.

Corollary 2.17. *Let Λ be a k -split quasi-hereditary algebra. Suppose that Λ is Ringel self-dual with characteristic tilting module T . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $T \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(T, k)$ as Λ - Λ -bimodules.
- (2) $T \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{L}} T \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)$ as Λ - Λ -bimodules.
- (3) $(-\otimes_{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{L}} T)^2$ is a Serre functor for $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\Lambda)$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.15. $\square$

Part 2. Strict polynomial functors

In the second part of these notes we explain the highest weight structure for categories of strict polynomial functors [16], working over an arbitrary commutative ring and using some of the principal results from the theory of Schur and Weyl functors [1].

3. DIVIDED POWERS AND STRICT POLYNOMIAL FUNCTORS

Strict polynomial functors were introduced by Friedlander and Suslin [16]. In this section we recall the definition and some basic properties, using an equivalent description in terms of representations of divided powers. For details and further references, see [25, 27, 32, 37]. The material is elementary, based to a large extent on classical facts from multilinear algebra. In particular, properties of divided powers are used, for which we refer to [5, IV.5]. The language of strict polynomial functors is employed because of its flexibility. Evaluating strict polynomial functors at a free module of finite rank makes it easy to transfer this work to the representation theory of Schur algebras.

Finitely generated projective modules. Throughout we fix a commutative ring k . Let $\mathcal{P}_k$ denote the category of finitely generated projective k -modules. Given V, W in $\mathcal{P}_k$, we write $V \otimes W$ for their tensor product over k and $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ for the group of k -linear maps $V \rightarrow W$. This provides two bifunctors

$$\begin{aligned} - \otimes - &: \mathcal{P}_k \times \mathcal{P}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_k \\ \text{Hom}(-, -) &: (\mathcal{P}_k)^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{P}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_k \end{aligned}$$

and the functor sending V to $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, k)$ yields a duality

$$(\mathcal{P}_k)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_k.$$

Divided and symmetric powers. Fix a positive integer d and denote by $\mathfrak{S}_d$ the symmetric group permuting d elements. For each $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$, the group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ acts on $V^{\otimes d}$ by permuting the factors of the tensor product. Denote by $\Gamma^d V$ the submodule $(V^{\otimes d})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ of $V^{\otimes d}$ consisting of the elements which are invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$; it is called the module of *divided powers* (more correctly: *symmetric tensors*) of degree d . The maximal quotient of $V^{\otimes d}$ on which $\mathfrak{S}_d$ acts trivially is denoted by $S^d V$ and is called the module of *symmetric powers* of degree d . Set $\Gamma^0 V = k$ and $S^0 V = k$.

From the definition, it follows that $(\Gamma^d V)^* \cong S^d(V^*)$. Note that $S^d V$ is a free k -module provided that V is free. Thus $\Gamma^d V$ and $S^d V$ belong to $\mathcal{P}_k$ for all $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$, and we obtain functors $\Gamma^d, S^d: \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_k$.

The category of divided powers. We consider the category $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$ which is defined as follows. The objects are the finitely generated projective k -modules and for two objects V, W set

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k}(V, W) = \Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, W).$$

This identifies with $\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes d}, W^{\otimes d})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$, where $\mathfrak{S}_d$ acts on $\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes d}, W^{\otimes d})$ via $(\sigma f)(v) = \sigma^{-1} f(\sigma v)$ for $f: V^{\otimes d} \rightarrow W^{\otimes d}$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. Using this identification one defines the composition of morphisms in $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$. The duality for $\mathcal{P}_k$ induces a duality

$$(\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k.$$

Example 3.1. Let n be a positive integer and set $V = k^n$. Then $\text{End}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k}(V)$ is isomorphic to the *Schur algebra* $S_k(n, d)$ as defined by Green [18, Theorem 2.6c].

Following [37], this example suggests for $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$ the term *Schur category*.

Strict polynomial functors. Let $\mathcal{M}_k$ denote the category of k -modules. We study the category of k -linear *representations* of $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$. This is by definition the category of k -linear functors $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ and we write by slight abuse of notation

$$\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d = \text{Fun}_k(\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k, \mathcal{M}_k).$$

For objects X, Y in $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ the set of morphisms is denoted by $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(X, Y)$.

The representations of $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$ form an abelian category, where (co)kernels and (co)products are computed pointwise in the category of k -modules.

The Yoneda embedding. The Yoneda embedding

$$(\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d, \quad V \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k}(V, -)$$

identifies $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$ with the full subcategory consisting of the representable functors. For $V \in \Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$ we write

$$\Gamma^{d,V} = \text{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k}(V, -).$$

For $X \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ there is the Yoneda isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(V)$$

and it follows that $\Gamma^{d,V}$ is a projective object in $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$.

Duality. Given a representation $X \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$, its *dual* X° is defined by

$$X^\circ(V) = X(V^*)^*.$$

We have for all $X, Y \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(X, Y^\circ) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(Y, X^\circ).$$

The evaluation morphism $X \rightarrow X^{\circ\circ}$ is an isomorphism when X takes values in $\mathcal{P}_k$.

Example 3.2. The divided power functor Γ^d and the symmetric power functor S^d belong to $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$. In fact

$$\Gamma^d = \text{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k}(k, -) \quad \text{and} \quad S^d \cong (\Gamma^d)^\circ.$$

The algebra of divided powers. Given $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$, we set $\Gamma V = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \Gamma^d V$. For non-negative integers d, e the inclusion $\mathfrak{S}_d \times \mathfrak{S}_e \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{d+e}$ induces natural maps

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma^{d+e} V \longrightarrow \Gamma^d V \otimes \Gamma^e V \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^d V \otimes \Gamma^e V \longrightarrow \Gamma^{d+e} V.$$

The first map is given by

$$(V^{\otimes d+e})^{\mathfrak{S}_{d+e}} \subseteq (V^{\otimes d+e})^{\mathfrak{S}_d \times \mathfrak{S}_e} \cong (V^{\otimes d})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \otimes (V^{\otimes e})^{\mathfrak{S}_e}.$$

The second map sends $x \otimes y \in \Gamma^d V \otimes \Gamma^e V$ to

$$xy = \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_{d+e}/\mathfrak{S}_d \times \mathfrak{S}_e} g(x \otimes y)$$

where $g(x \otimes y) = \sigma(x \otimes y)$ for a coset $g = \sigma(\mathfrak{S}_d \times \mathfrak{S}_e)$. This multiplication gives ΓV the structure of a commutative k -algebra.

Now suppose that V is a free k -module with basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Let $\Lambda(n, d)$ denote the set of sequences $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of non-negative integers such that $\sum \lambda_i = d$. Then the elements

$$v_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^n v_i^{\otimes \lambda_i} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda(n, d)$$

form a k -basis of $\Gamma^d V$.

Let $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ denote the dual basis of V^* . We identify the symmetric algebra $S(V^*) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S^d(V^*)$ with the polynomial algebra $k[v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*]$. Let $\{v_\lambda^*\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, d)}$ be the basis of $(\Gamma^d V)^*$ dual to $\{v_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, d)}$. Then the canonical isomorphism $(\Gamma^d V)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} S^d(V^*)$ maps each v_λ^* to $\prod_{i=1}^n (v_i^*)^{\lambda_i}$.

Tensor products. For non-negative integers d, e there is a tensor product

$$- \otimes -: \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d \times \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^e \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^{d+e}.$$

Let $X \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ and $Y \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^e$. The functor $X \otimes Y$ acts on objects via

$$(X \otimes Y)(V) = X(V) \otimes Y(V)$$

and on morphisms via the map

$$\Gamma^{d+e} \text{Hom}(V, W) \longrightarrow \Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, W) \otimes \Gamma^e \text{Hom}(V, W)$$

given by (3.1). Note that

$$(X \otimes Y)^\circ \cong X^\circ \otimes Y^\circ$$

when X and Y take values in $\mathcal{P}_k$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, d)$ we set

$$\Gamma^\lambda = \Gamma^{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma^{\lambda_n} \quad \text{and} \quad S^\lambda = S^{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\lambda_n}.$$

We have

$$(\Gamma^\lambda)^\circ \cong S^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^{(1, \dots, 1)} \cong \otimes^n \cong S^{(1, \dots, 1)}.$$

Graded representations. It is sometimes convenient to consider the category

$$\prod_{d \geq 0} \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$$

consisting of graded representations $X = (X^0, X^1, X^2, \dots)$. An example is for each $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$ the representation

$$\Gamma^V = (\Gamma^{0, V}, \Gamma^{1, V}, \Gamma^{2, V}, \dots).$$

The tensor product $X \otimes Y$ of graded representations X, Y is defined in degree d by

$$(X \otimes Y)^d = \bigoplus_{i+j=d} X^i \otimes Y^j.$$

Decomposing divided powers. The assignment which takes $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$ to the symmetric algebra $SV = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S^d V$ gives a functor from $\mathcal{P}_k$ to the category of commutative k -algebras which preserves coproducts. Thus

$$SV \otimes SW \cong S(V \oplus W)$$

and therefore by duality

$$\Gamma V \otimes \Gamma W \cong \Gamma(V \oplus W).$$

This yields an isomorphism of graded representations

$$\Gamma^V \otimes \Gamma^W \cong \Gamma^{V \oplus W}.$$

Thus for each positive integer n , one obtains in degree d a decomposition

$$\Gamma^{d, k^n} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^d (\Gamma^{d-i, k^{n-1}} \otimes \Gamma^i)$$

and using induction a canonical decomposition

$$(3.2) \quad \Gamma^{d, k^n} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, d)} \Gamma^\lambda.$$

The decomposition of divided powers implies that the finitely generated projective objects in $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ are precisely the direct summands of finite direct sums of functors Γ^λ , where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is any sequence of non-negative integers satisfying $\sum \lambda_i = d$ and n is any positive integer.

Exterior powers. Given $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$, let $\Lambda V = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \Lambda^d V$ denote the exterior algebra, which is obtained from the tensor algebra $TV = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} V^{\otimes d}$ by taking the quotient with respect to the ideal generated by the elements $v \otimes v$, $v \in V$.

For each $d \geq 0$, the k -module $\Lambda^d V$ is free provided that V is free. Thus $\Lambda^d V$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_k$ for all $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$, and this gives a functor $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_k$, since the ideal generated by the elements $v \otimes v$ is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $V^{\otimes d}$. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\Lambda^d(V^*) \cong (\Lambda^d V)^*$$

induced by $(f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_d)(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d) = \det(f_i(v_j))$, and therefore $(\Lambda^d)^\circ \cong \Lambda^d$.

Representations of Schur algebras. Strict polynomial functors and modules over Schur algebras are closely related, since for any $X \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ the Schur algebra $S_k(n, d)$ acts on $X(k^n)$; cf. Example 3.1.

Let $n \geq d$. The functor

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d \longrightarrow \text{Mod } S_k(n, d)^{\text{op}}, \quad X \mapsto X(k^n)$$

gives an equivalence, because evaluation at k^n identifies with $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d, k^n}, -)$ and Γ^{d, k^n} is a projective generator.¹

Base change. Let $k \rightarrow k'$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings. The functor $- \otimes_k k': \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k'}$ induces for each positive integer d functors

$$\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k \longrightarrow \Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_{k'} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \Gamma_{k'}^d$$

which we denote again by $- \otimes_k k'$. For example, $\Gamma^\lambda \otimes_k k' = \Gamma^\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, d)$.

We note that most results in this work are invariant under base change.

4. SCHUR AND WEYL FUNCTORS

Generalising the results of Schur [38] and Lascoux [29] in characteristic zero, Schur and Weyl functors, in arbitrary characteristic, were introduced by Akin, Buchsbaum, and Weyman [1]. We give the definition and refer to the next section for a description in terms of (co)standard objects.

Partitions and Young diagrams. Fix a positive integer d . A *partition of weight d* (or simply a partition of d) is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of non-negative integers satisfying $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$ and $\sum \lambda_i = d$. Its *conjugate* λ' is the partition where λ'_i equals the number of terms of λ that are greater or equal than i .

Fix a partition λ of weight d . Each integer $r \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ can be written uniquely as sum $r = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + j$ with $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$. The pair (i, j) describes the position (i th row and j th column) of r in the *Young diagram* corresponding to λ . The partition λ determines a permutation $\sigma_\lambda \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ by $\sigma_\lambda(r) = \lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_{j-1} + i$, where $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_j$. Note that $\sigma_{\lambda'} = \sigma_\lambda^{-1}$. Here is an example.

$$\lambda = (3, 2) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \lambda' = (2, 2, 1) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \sigma_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

¹Our preference is to work with the functor category $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$, because the Schur category $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$ carries useful structure (e.g. $\oplus$ or $\otimes$) which ‘disappears’ when one evaluates at a single object k^n .

Schur and Weyl modules. Fix a partition λ of weight d , and assume that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = d = \lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_m$. For $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$ one defines the *Schur module* $S_\lambda V$ as image of the map

$$\Lambda^{\lambda'_1} V \otimes \dots \otimes \Lambda^{\lambda'_m} V \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta} V^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{s_\lambda} V^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{\nabla \otimes \dots \otimes \nabla} S^{\lambda_1} V \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\lambda_n} V.$$

Here, we denote for an integer r by $\Delta: \Lambda^r V \rightarrow V^{\otimes r}$ the comultiplication given by

$$\Delta(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_r) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \text{sgn}(\sigma) v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(r)},$$

$\nabla: V^{\otimes r} \rightarrow S^r V$ is the multiplication, and $s_\lambda: V^{\otimes d} \rightarrow V^{\otimes d}$ is given by

$$s_\lambda(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d) = v_{\sigma_\lambda(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma_\lambda(d)}.$$

The corresponding *Weyl module* $W_\lambda V$ is by definition the image of the analogous map

$$\Gamma^{\lambda_1} V \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma^{\lambda_n} V \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta} V^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{s_{\lambda'}} V^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{\nabla \otimes \dots \otimes \nabla} \Lambda^{\lambda'_1} V \otimes \dots \otimes \Lambda^{\lambda'_m} V.$$

Note that $(W_\lambda V)^* \cong S_\lambda(V^*)$.

Young tableaux. Suppose that V is a free k -module with basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$. We fix a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and describe an explicit basis for $S_\lambda V$ and $W_\lambda V$.

A *filling* of a Young diagram is a map which assigns to each box a positive integer. A *Young tableau* is a filling that is weakly increasing along each row and strictly increasing down each column.

Each filling T with entries in $\{1, \dots, r\}$ yields two elements

$$v_T \in \Gamma^{\lambda_1} V \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma^{\lambda_n} V \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{v}_T \in \Lambda^{\lambda'_1} V \otimes \dots \otimes \Lambda^{\lambda'_m} V$$

by replacing any i in a box by v_i . Here is an example of a Young tableau

$$\lambda = (5, 3, 3, 2) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 5 & & \\ \hline 4 & 4 & 6 & & \\ \hline 5 & 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and here are the corresponding elements.

$$\begin{aligned} v_T &= (v_1(v_2 \otimes v_2)(v_3 \otimes v_3)) \otimes (v_2 v_3 v_5) \otimes ((v_4 \otimes v_4)v_6) \otimes (v_5 v_6) \\ \hat{v}_T &= (v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4 \wedge v_5) \otimes (v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_4 \wedge v_6) \otimes (v_2 \wedge v_5 \wedge v_6) \otimes v_3 \otimes v_3 \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, let $T(i, j)$ denote the entry of the box (i, j) and define $\alpha^i \in \Lambda(r, \lambda_i)$ by setting $\alpha_j^i = \text{card}\{t \mid T(i, t) = j\}$. Then $v_T = v_{\alpha^1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\alpha^n}$. Note that the elements v_T form a k -basis of $\Gamma^\lambda V$ as T runs through all fillings (weakly increasing along each row).

Proposition 4.1 ([1, Theorems II.2.16 and II.3.16]). *Let λ be a partition and V a free k -module of rank r .*

- (1) *The canonical map $\Lambda^{\lambda'} V \rightarrow S_\lambda V$ sends the elements $\hat{v}_T$ with T a Young tableau on λ with entries in $\{1, \dots, r\}$ to a k -basis of $S_\lambda V$.*
- (2) *The canonical map $\Gamma^\lambda V \rightarrow W_\lambda V$ sends the elements v_T with T a Young tableau on λ with entries in $\{1, \dots, r\}$ to a k -basis of $W_\lambda V$. $\square$*

For expositions on Schur and Weyl modules, see [17, §8.1] or [40, §2.1]. There one finds proofs of Proposition 4.1 and presentations of these modules, which are relevant for the proof of Theorem 5.8.

Schur and Weyl functors. The definition of Schur and Weyl modules gives rise to functors S_λ and W_λ in $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ for each partition λ of weight d . Note that $S_\lambda^\circ \cong W_\lambda$ and $W_\lambda^\circ \cong S_\lambda$.

Example 4.2. We have $S_{(1,\dots,1)} = \Lambda^d$ and $S_{(d)} = S^d$.

5. WEIGHT SPACES AND (CO)STANDARD OBJECTS

Weight space decompositions. Fix a free k -module V with basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. For any $X \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ we describe a decomposition of $X(V)$ into weight spaces; see also [16, Corollary 2.12] for this decomposition and a different argument.

The canonical decomposition (3.2)

$$\Gamma^{d,V} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(n,d)} \Gamma^\mu.$$

induces via the Yoneda isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(V)$ a decomposition

$$X(V) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(n,d)} X(V)_\mu \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(V)_\mu.$$

For each $\mu \in \Lambda(n,d)$ this isomorphism can be written as composition of

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{S_k(n,d)}(\Gamma^\mu(V), X(V)), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi_V$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{S_k(n,d)}(\Gamma^\mu(V), X(V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(V)_\mu, \quad \psi \mapsto \psi(v_1^{\otimes \mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n^{\otimes \mu_n}).$$

Here, we identify $\text{End}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k}(V) = S_k(n,d)$ and note that $v_1^{\otimes \mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n^{\otimes \mu_n}$ generates $\Gamma^\mu(V)$ as $S_k(n,d)$ -module.

The following lemma summarises this discussion.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mu \in \Lambda(n,d)$ and set $V = k^n$. For $X \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{S_k(n,d)}(\Gamma^\mu(V), X(V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(V)_\mu. \quad \square$$

We observe that the duality preserves the weight space decomposition.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mu \in \Lambda(n,d)$ and set $V = k^n$. For $X \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ there is a natural isomorphism*

$$X^\circ(V)_\mu \cong X(V^*)_\mu^*.$$

Proof. We have

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X^\circ) \cong X^\circ(V) = X(V^*)^* \cong \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,V^*}, X)^*.$$

Now use Lemma 5.1 and the canonical decomposition

$$\Gamma^{d,V} \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(n,d)} \Gamma^\mu \cong \Gamma^{d,V^*}. \quad \square$$

Standard morphisms. We compute the weight spaces for Γ^λ and S^λ .

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ be sequences of non-negative integers satisfying $\sum \lambda_i = d = \sum \mu_j$. Given a matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$ of non-negative integers with $\lambda_i = \sum_j a_{ij}$ and $\mu_j = \sum_i a_{ij}$ for all i, j , there is a *standard morphism*

$$\gamma_A: \Gamma^\mu = \bigotimes_j \Gamma^{\mu_j} \longrightarrow \bigotimes_j \left(\bigotimes_i \Gamma^{a_{ij}} \right) = \bigotimes_i \left(\bigotimes_j \Gamma^{a_{ij}} \right) \longrightarrow \bigotimes_i \Gamma^{\lambda_i} = \Gamma^\lambda$$

where the first morphism is the tensor product of the natural inclusions $\Gamma^{\mu_j} \rightarrow \bigotimes_i \Gamma^{a_{ij}}$ and the second morphism is the tensor product of the natural product maps $\bigotimes_j \Gamma^{a_{ij}} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\lambda_i}$, as given by (3.1). Analogously, there is a morphism

$$\sigma_A: \Gamma^\mu = \bigotimes_j \Gamma^{\mu_j} \longrightarrow \bigotimes_j \left(\bigotimes_i T^{a_{ij}} \right) = \bigotimes_i \left(\bigotimes_j T^{a_{ij}} \right) \longrightarrow \bigotimes_i S^{\lambda_i} = S^\lambda$$

where $T^r = \otimes^r$ for any non-negative integer r , the first morphism is the tensor product of the natural inclusions $\Gamma^{\mu_j} \rightarrow \bigotimes_i T^{a_{ij}}$, and the second morphism is the tensor product of the natural product maps $\bigotimes_j T^{a_{ij}} \rightarrow S^{\lambda_i}$.

Lemma 5.3 ([36, p. 8]). *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ be sequences of non-negative integers with $\sum \lambda_i = d = \sum \mu_i$.*

- (1) *The morphisms γ_A form a k -basis of $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\lambda)$.²*
- (2) *The morphisms σ_A form a k -basis of $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, S^\lambda)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, d)$ and apply Lemma 5.1. Fix a free k -module V with basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{S_k(n, d)}(\Gamma^\mu V, \Gamma^\lambda V) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Gamma^\lambda V)_\mu.$$

A standard morphism γ_A evaluated at V takes the element $v_1^{\otimes \mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n^{\otimes \mu_n}$ to $v_A = v_{\alpha^1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\alpha^n}$ with $\alpha^i \in \Lambda(n, \lambda_i)$ and $\alpha_j^i = a_{ij}$. Now the assertion of part (1) follows from the fact that the elements v_A form a basis of $\Gamma^\lambda V$ as μ runs through $\Lambda(n, d)$; cf. Example 5.5.

The proof of part (2) is analogous. $\square$

For example, let $\lambda = (5, 3, 3, 2)$ and $\mu = (1, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2)$. For

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

the morphism γ_A evaluated at $V = k^6$ takes $v_1^{\otimes \mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_6^{\otimes \mu_6}$ to the element

$$(v_1(v_2 \otimes v_2)(v_3 \otimes v_3)) \otimes (v_2 v_3 v_5) \otimes ((v_4 \otimes v_4)v_6) \otimes (v_5 v_6).$$

Example 5.4. The special case $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1) = \mu$ yields the isomorphism

$$\text{End}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{(1, \dots, 1)}) \cong k\mathfrak{S}_d.$$

Let λ be a partition and T a filling of the corresponding Young diagram. The *content* of T is by definition the sequence $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ such that μ_i equals the number of times the integer i occurs in T .

Example 5.5. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be a partition and set $V = k^n$. For a filling T of the corresponding Young diagram with entries in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, the element v_T belongs to $(\Gamma^\lambda V)_\mu$ where μ equals the content of T . The standard morphism $\gamma_A: \Gamma^\mu \rightarrow \Gamma^\lambda$ given by $a_{ij} = \text{card}\{t \mid T(i, t) = j\}$ and evaluated at V sends $v_1^{\otimes \mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n^{\otimes \mu_n}$ to

²This yields a basis of the Schur algebra $S_k(n, d) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, d)} \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\lambda)$.

v_T . If $\mu = \lambda$, then T is the unique Young tableau such that all boxes of the i th row have entry i .

The dominance order. We consider the *dominance order* on the set of partitions of weight d . Thus $\mu \leq \lambda$ if $\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$ for all integers $r \geq 1$.

The following simple lemma explains the relevance of Young tableaux.

Lemma 5.6. *Let λ and μ be partitions. Then there exists a Young tableau of shape λ with content μ if and only if $\mu \leq \lambda$.* $\square$

The next proposition describes the weight spaces for Schur and Weyl functors.

Proposition 5.7. *Let λ and μ be partitions of weight d .*

- (1) $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, W_\lambda) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu \leq \lambda$. Moreover, $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\lambda, W_\lambda) \cong k$.
- (2) $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, S_\lambda) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu \leq \lambda$. Moreover, $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\lambda, S_\lambda) \cong k$.

Proof. We apply Lemma 5.1. The assertion for W_λ then follows from the computation in Example 5.5 and Lemma 5.6, using the basis of a Weyl module from Proposition 4.1. For S_λ the assertion follows from the first part since $S_\lambda \cong W_{\lambda^\circ}$, using Lemma 5.2. $\square$

Standard objects. Let λ be a partition of weight d . For $X \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ and any partition μ of weight d we define the *trace*

$$\text{tr}_\mu X = \sum_{\phi: \Gamma^\mu \rightarrow X} \text{Im } \phi.$$

The *standard object* corresponding to λ is by definition

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \Gamma^\lambda / \left(\sum_{\mu \not\leq \lambda} \text{tr}_\mu \Gamma^\lambda \right)$$

where μ runs through all partitions of weight d . This yields an exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \sum_{\mu \not\leq \lambda} \text{tr}_\mu \Gamma^\lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma^\lambda \longrightarrow \Delta(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 5.8. *Let λ be a partition of weight d . The canonical morphism $\Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$ induces isomorphisms*

$$W_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\lambda, \Delta(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} k.$$

Proof. The proof of [1, Theorem II.3.16] (which amounts to a proof of Proposition 4.1) shows that the functor W_λ admits a presentation

$$(5.2) \quad \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} \Gamma^{\lambda(i,t)} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Gamma^\lambda \longrightarrow W_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\lambda(i, t) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i + t, \lambda_{i+1} - t, \lambda_{i+2}, \dots)$$

and $\Gamma^{\lambda(i,t)} \rightarrow \Gamma^\lambda$ is the standard morphism γ_A given by the matrix

$$A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) + tE_{i+1,i} - tE_{i+1,i+1}.$$

On the other hand, the definition of $\Delta(\lambda)$ yields a presentation

$$\bigoplus_{\Gamma^\mu \rightarrow \Gamma^\lambda} \Gamma^\mu \xrightarrow{\beta} \Gamma^\lambda \longrightarrow \Delta(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\Gamma^\mu \rightarrow \Gamma^\lambda$ runs through all morphisms such that $\mu \not\leq \lambda$.

The morphism α factors through β , since $\lambda(i, t) \not\leq \lambda$ for all pairs i, t . Conversely, β factors through α , since $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, W_\lambda) = 0$ for all $\mu \not\leq \lambda$ by Proposition 5.7, and each Γ^μ is projective. It follows that the canonical morphism $\Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$ induces an isomorphism $W_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta(\lambda)$.

For the other pair of isomorphisms apply $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(-, \Delta(\lambda))$ to the exact sequence (5.1) and use again Proposition 5.7. $\square$

Costandard objects. The duality yields an analogue of Theorem 5.8 for Schur functors. The *costandard object* corresponding to a partition λ is by definition

$$\nabla(\lambda) = \bigcap_{\mu \not\leq \lambda} \text{rej}_\mu S^\lambda \quad \text{with} \quad \text{rej}_\mu X = \bigcap_{\phi: X \rightarrow S^\mu} \text{Ker } \phi.$$

Corollary 5.9. *Let λ be a partition of weight d . The canonical morphism $\nabla(\lambda) \rightarrow S^\lambda$ induces isomorphisms*

$$\nabla(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\nabla(\lambda), \nabla(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\nabla(\lambda), S^\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} k.$$

Moreover, the canonical morphism $\Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$ induces isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Delta(\lambda), \nabla(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\lambda, \nabla(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} k.$$

Proof. From the definition we have $\nabla(\lambda) \cong \Delta(\lambda)^\circ$ since $S^\mu \cong (\Gamma^\mu)^\circ$ for each partition μ . Thus the first set of isomorphisms follows directly from Theorem 5.8 by applying the duality.

For the last pair of isomorphisms apply $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(-, \nabla(\lambda))$ to the exact sequence (5.1) and use Proposition 5.7. $\square$

Simple objects. We describe the simple objects in $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ provided that k is a local ring. For a partition λ of weight d , consider the subobject

$$U(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu < \lambda} \text{tr}_\mu \Delta(\lambda) + \left(\sum_{\phi: \Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)} \text{Im } \phi \right) \subseteq \Delta(\lambda)$$

where ϕ runs through all morphisms corresponding to non-invertible elements in $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\lambda, \Delta(\lambda)) \cong k$, and set

$$L(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)/U(\lambda).$$

Proposition 5.10. *Suppose that k is a local ring and fix a partition λ of weight d . Then the functor $U(\lambda)$ is the unique maximal subobject of $\Delta(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)$ is a simple object in $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$.*

Proof. Let $X \subseteq \Delta(\lambda)$ be a subobject. If $\text{tr}_\lambda X = 0$, then $X \subseteq U(\lambda)$. If $\text{tr}_\lambda X \neq 0$, then there is a nonzero morphism $\phi: \Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow X \hookrightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$, which is an epimorphism if and only if ϕ corresponds to an invertible element, by Theorem 5.8. This follows by restricting ϕ to the weight space for λ . Thus $U(\lambda)$ is the unique maximal subobject of $\Delta(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)$ is simple. $\square$

The duality maps the unique simple quotient of $\Delta(\lambda)$ to the unique simple subobject of $\nabla(\lambda)$. Next we show that the socle of $\nabla(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $S \in \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ be simple and $\lambda = \max\{\mu \mid \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^\mu, S) \neq 0\}$. Then $S \cong L(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Choose a nonzero morphism $\Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow S$. This factors through the canonical morphism $\Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$. Thus $S \cong L(\lambda)$ by Proposition 5.10. $\square$

Proposition 5.12. *Let λ be a partition. Then $L(\lambda)^\circ \cong L(\lambda)$.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Lemma 5.11 using Lemma 5.2. $\square$

Corollary 5.13. *Suppose that k is a local ring and let Λ denote the set of partitions of weight d . Then $\{L(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a representative set of simple objects in $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$. $\square$*

6. THE CAUCHY DECOMPOSITION

The Cauchy decomposition formula for Schur functors [1, 9, 13] is the analogue of Cauchy's formula for symmetric functions [6]. More precisely, the term 'Cauchy decomposition' refers to a filtration of symmetric powers whose associated graded object is a direct sum of Schur functors. One obtains the formula for symmetric functions by passing in characteristic zero from polynomial representations of general linear groups to their characters.

Fix $V, W \in \mathcal{P}_k$. For any non-negative integer r there is a unique map

$$\psi^r : \Gamma^r V \otimes \Gamma^r W \longrightarrow \Gamma^r(V \otimes W)$$

making the following square commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma^r V \otimes \Gamma^r W & \xrightarrow{\psi^r} & \Gamma^r(V \otimes W) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V^{\otimes r} \otimes W^{\otimes r} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (V \otimes W)^{\otimes r} \end{array}$$

Extend this map for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of weight d to a map

$$\psi^\lambda : \Gamma^\lambda V \otimes \Gamma^\lambda W \longrightarrow \Gamma^d(V \otimes W)$$

which is given as composite

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\lambda V \otimes \Gamma^\lambda W &\xrightarrow{\sim} (\Gamma^{\lambda_1} V \otimes \Gamma^{\lambda_1} W) \otimes \dots \otimes (\Gamma^{\lambda_n} V \otimes \Gamma^{\lambda_n} W) \xrightarrow{\psi^{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi^{\lambda_n}} \\ &\Gamma^{\lambda_1}(V \otimes W) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma^{\lambda_n}(V \otimes W) \longrightarrow \Gamma^d(V \otimes W) \end{aligned}$$

with the last map given by multiplication.

The lexicographic order. We consider the *lexicographic order* on the set of partitions of weight d . Thus $\mu \leq \lambda$ if for any integer $r \geq 1$ we have $\mu_r \leq \lambda_r$ whenever $\mu_i = \lambda_i$ for all $i < r$. For a partition λ let λ^- denote its immediate predecessor and λ^+ its immediate successor. Set $(1, \dots, 1)^- = -\infty$ and $(d)^+ = +\infty$.

Note that the dominance order implies the lexicographic order.

The Cauchy filtration. The *Cauchy filtration* is by definition the chain

$$(6.1) \quad 0 = F_{+\infty} \subseteq F_{(d)} \subseteq F_{(d-1,1)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_{(2,1,\dots,1)} \subseteq F_{(1,\dots,1)} = \Gamma^d(V \otimes W)$$

where $F_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \geq \lambda} \text{Im } \psi^\mu$.

The following result describes the factors of the Cauchy filtration; it is the analogue of [1, Theorem III.1.4] for the Cauchy filtration of $S^d(V \otimes W)$.³

Theorem 6.1 ([21, Theorem III.2.9]). *Let $V, W \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and fix a partition λ of weight d . Then the morphism $\psi^\lambda : \Gamma^\lambda V \otimes \Gamma^\lambda W \rightarrow F_\lambda$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\Delta(\lambda)V \otimes \Delta(\lambda)W \xrightarrow{\sim} F_\lambda / F_{\lambda^+}$$

which is functorial in V and W (with respect to morphisms in $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k$).

³The Cauchy filtration of $S^d(V \otimes W)$ is obtained from (6.1) by duality. The approach via maps $\Lambda^\lambda V \otimes \Lambda^\lambda W \rightarrow S^d(V \otimes W)$ seems to be more complicated.

Proof. From the presentation (5.2) of $W_\lambda \cong \Delta(\lambda)$ we deduce that there is a morphism $\bar{\psi}^\lambda$ making the following square commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma^\lambda V \otimes \Gamma^\lambda W & \xrightarrow{\psi^\lambda} & F_\lambda \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta(\lambda)V \otimes \Delta(\lambda)W & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}^\lambda} & F_\lambda/F_{\lambda^+} \end{array}$$

More precisely, consider the standard morphism $\gamma_A: \Gamma^{\lambda(i,t)} \rightarrow \Gamma^\lambda$ arising in (5.2). The composition

$$\Gamma^{\lambda(i,t)} V \otimes \Gamma^{\lambda(i,t)} W \xrightarrow{\gamma_A V \otimes \gamma_A W} \Gamma^\lambda V \otimes \Gamma^\lambda W \xrightarrow{\psi^\lambda} \Gamma^d(V \otimes W)$$

equals a multiple of $\psi^{\lambda(i,t)}$, and we have $\text{Im } \psi^{\lambda(i,t)} \subseteq F_{\lambda^+}$ since $\lambda(i,t) > \lambda$. This yields $\bar{\psi}^\lambda$. A computation of ranks (as in the proof of [1, Theorem III.1.4]) shows that $\bar{\psi}^\lambda$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. *Let $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$. There is a filtration*

$$0 = X_{+\infty} \subseteq X_{(d)} \subseteq X_{(d-1,1)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_{(2,1,\dots,1)} \subseteq X_{(1,\dots,1)} = \Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, -)$$

such that for each partition λ of weight d

$$X_\lambda/X_{\lambda^+} \cong \Delta(\lambda)(V^*) \otimes \Delta(\lambda).$$

Proof. The filtration of $\Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, -) \cong \Gamma^d(V^* \otimes -)$ is given by the filtration (6.1), replacing V by V^* and using its functoriality in W . Thus the description of X_λ/X_{λ^+} follows from Theorem 6.1. $\square$

The filtration of $\Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, -)$ induces a filtration for each direct summand of $\Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, -)$. This follows from the functoriality of the filtration (6.1) in V . The canonical isomorphism

$$\text{End}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k}(V)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, -))$$

then shows that a decomposition of $\Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, -)$ yields a decomposition of each factor X_λ/X_{λ^+} .

Corollary 6.3. *Let μ be a partition of weight d . There is a filtration*

$$0 = Y_{+\infty} \subseteq Y_{(d)} \subseteq Y_{(d-1,1)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq Y_{\mu^+} \subseteq Y_\mu = \Gamma^\mu$$

such that for each partition $\lambda \geq \mu$

$$Y_\lambda/Y_{\lambda^+} \cong \Delta(\lambda)^{K_{\lambda\mu}}$$

where $K_{\lambda\mu}$ equals the number of Young tableaux of shape λ and content μ .

Proof. Let $\mu \in \Lambda(n, d)$. The functor Γ^μ is a direct summand of $\Gamma^d \text{Hom}(k^n, -)$ and the functoriality of the filtration (6.1) in V yields the filtration of Γ^μ by passing for each partition λ from $X_\lambda \subseteq \Gamma^d \text{Hom}(k^n, -)$ to the direct summand $Y_\lambda \subseteq \Gamma^\mu$ corresponding to μ . It follows from Corollary 6.2 that for each partition λ

$$Y_\lambda/Y_{\lambda^+} \cong \Delta(\lambda)(k^n)_\mu \otimes \Delta(\lambda),$$

where $\Delta(\lambda)(k^n)_\mu$ is the weight space corresponding to μ . This weight space can be computed and is nonzero if and only if $\lambda \geq \mu$ with respect to the dominance order, by Proposition 5.7. The precise description follows from the computation in Example 5.5, using the basis of a Weyl module from Proposition 4.1. $\square$

Remark 6.4. The number $K_{\lambda\mu}$ is called *Kostka number*.

The following is the analogue of Corollary 6.2 for $S^d(V \otimes -)$.

Corollary 6.5. *Let $V \in \mathcal{P}_k$. There is a filtration*

$$0 = Z_{-\infty} \subseteq Z_{(1, \dots, 1)} \subseteq Z_{(2, 1, \dots, 1)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq Z_{(d-1, 1)} \subseteq Z_{(d)} = S^d(V \otimes -)$$

such that for each partition λ of weight d

$$Z_\lambda / Z_{\lambda^-} \cong \nabla(\lambda) V \otimes \nabla(\lambda).$$

Proof. We modify the filtration of $\Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, -)$ from Corollary 6.2 as follows. Let Z_λ denote the kernel of the epimorphism

$$S^d(V \otimes -) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma^d \text{Hom}(V, -)^\circ \twoheadrightarrow X_{\lambda^+}^\circ.$$

Then we have

$$Z_\lambda / Z_{\lambda^-} \cong (X_\lambda / X_{\lambda^+})^\circ$$

which is a direct sum of copies $\Delta(\lambda)^\circ \cong \nabla(\lambda)$. $\square$

7. HIGHEST WEIGHT STRUCTURE

Highest weight categories were introduced by Cline, Parshall, and Scott [7]. For the definition of a k -linear highest weight category over a commutative ring k , see Definition 1.3.

We fix a commutative ring k and an integer $d \geq 0$. Let $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$ denote the category of k -linear functors $\Gamma^d \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_k$, where $\mathcal{P}_k$ denotes the category of finitely generated projective k -modules. Note that evaluation at k^n gives an equivalence $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod}(S_k(n, d)^{\text{op}}, k)$ for all $n \geq d$, where $S_k(n, d)$ denotes the Schur algebra; cf. Example 3.1.

Theorem 7.1. *The category $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$ is a k -linear highest weight category with respect to the lexicographically ordered set of partitions of weight d . Thus there are exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow U(\lambda) \longrightarrow P(\lambda) \longrightarrow \Delta(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\lambda \text{ a partition})$$

in $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$ satisfying the following:

- (1) $\text{End}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Delta(\lambda)) \cong k$ for all λ .
- (2) $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu)) = 0$ for all $\lambda > \mu$.
- (3) $U(\lambda)$ belongs to $\text{Filt}\{\Delta(\mu) \mid \mu > \lambda\}$ for all λ .
- (4) $\bigoplus_\lambda P(\lambda)$ is a projective generator of $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$.

Proof. Fix a partition λ of weight d . We set $P(\lambda) = \Gamma^\lambda$ and the canonical epimorphism $\Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$ yields the defining exact sequence, where $U(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \not\leq \lambda} \text{tr}_\mu \Gamma^\lambda$ (using the dominance order). This gives (2) because every morphism $\Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(\mu)$ lifts to a morphism $\Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow \Gamma^\mu$. More precisely, $\lambda > \mu$ (lexicographic order) implies $\lambda \not\leq \mu$ (dominance order), and therefore $\Gamma^\lambda \rightarrow \Gamma^\mu$ factors through $U(\mu)$. (1) follows from Theorem 5.8, and (3) follows from Corollary 6.3. The canonical decomposition (3.2) of each representable functor into summands of the form Γ^λ implies (4), since the representable functors form a set of projective generators of $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$. $\square$

The module category of an algebra A is a highest weight category if and only if the algebra A is quasi-hereditary [7, 26]. Thus the equivalence (3.3) between $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$ and the category of modules over the Schur algebra $S_k(n, d)$ for $n \geq d$ yields the following (see [19, §7] for historical comments).

Corollary 7.2. *The Schur algebra $S_k(n, d)$ is quasi-hereditary for all $n \geq d$. $\square$*

8. CHARACTERISTIC TILTING OBJECTS AND RINGEL DUALITY

Fix a commutative ring k and an integer $d \geq 0$. We describe the characteristic tilting object for the highest weight category $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$ and show that $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$ is Ringel self-dual. These results are due to Donkin [12] when k is a field.

We begin with some preparations and recall the following result.

Proposition 8.1 ([4, Theorem 3.7]). *Let $X \in \text{Filt}(\nabla) \subseteq \text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$ and $Y \in \text{Filt}(\nabla) \subseteq \text{rep } \Gamma_k^e$. Then $X \otimes Y$ is in $\text{Filt}(\nabla) \subseteq \text{rep } \Gamma_k^{d+e}$.* $\square$

Proposition 8.2. *Let λ be a partition of weight d . Then Λ^λ is in $\text{Filt}(\Delta) \cap \text{Filt}(\nabla)$.*

Proof. We have $\Lambda^{\lambda_i} = S_{(1, \dots, 1)} \in \text{Filt}(\nabla)$ for all i . Thus $\Lambda^\lambda \in \text{Filt}(\nabla)$ by Proposition 8.1. Analogously, $\Lambda^\lambda \cong (\Lambda^\lambda)^\circ \in \text{Filt}(\nabla)^\circ = \text{Filt}(\Delta)$. $\square$

Next recall from [25] that there is an adjoint pair of functors

$$\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} -: \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Lambda^d, -): \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d \longrightarrow \text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d.$$

Proposition 8.3 ([25, Corollary 3.8]). *The functor $\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} -$ maps Γ^λ to Λ^λ and induces an equivalence*

$$\text{add}\{\Gamma^\lambda \mid \lambda \text{ partition of } d\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{add}\{\Lambda^\lambda \mid \lambda \text{ partition of } d\}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 8.4. *Let λ be a partition of weight d and λ' its conjugate partition. The functor $\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} -$ maps W_λ to $S_{\lambda'}$.*

Proof. We use the presentation (5.2) of W_λ , and the functor $\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} -$ maps this to the following exact sequence.

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} \Lambda^{\lambda(i,t)} \longrightarrow \Lambda^\lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} W_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

On the other hand, $S_{\lambda'}$ admits the presentation

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\lambda_{i+1}} \Lambda^{\lambda(i,t)} \xrightarrow{\beta} \Lambda^\lambda \longrightarrow S_{\lambda'} \longrightarrow 0$$

where β is the analogue of the morphism α in (5.2) [1, Theorem II.2.16]. Thus the assertion follows. $\square$

Let λ be a partition of weight d and $\mathbf{\Gamma}(W_\lambda)$ a projective resolution of W_λ . Then the left derived functor of $\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} -$ evaluated at W_λ is given by the homology of $\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} \mathbf{\Gamma}(W_\lambda)$.

Lemma 8.5. *We have $H_p(\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{\Gamma}(W_\lambda)) = 0$ for $p > 0$.*

Proof. The objects S^μ form a set of injective cogenerators of $\text{Rep } \Gamma_k^d$. Adjointness gives

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} \mathbf{\Gamma}(W_\lambda), S^\mu) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\mathbf{\Gamma}(W_\lambda), \mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Lambda^d, S^\mu)).$$

We have

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Lambda^d, S^\mu) \cong (\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} \Gamma^\mu)^\circ \cong (\Lambda^\mu)^\circ \cong \Lambda^\mu$$

where the first isomorphism follows from [25, Lemma 2.7] and the second uses Proposition 8.3. It remains to observe that

$$H_p(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\mathbf{\Gamma}(W_\lambda), \mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Lambda^d, S^\mu))) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Gamma_k^d}^p(W_\lambda, \Lambda^\mu)$$

vanishes for $p > 0$ by Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 8.2. $\square$

Theorem 8.6. *The functor $\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} -$ induces an equivalence*

$$\text{Filt}\{\Delta(\lambda) \mid \lambda \text{ partition of } d\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Filt}\{\nabla(\lambda) \mid \lambda \text{ partition of } d\}.$$

Therefore the highest weight category $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$ is Ringel self-dual with characteristic tilting object $\bigoplus_{\lambda} \Lambda^{\lambda}$.

Proof. We identify $\Delta(\lambda) = W_{\lambda}$ and $\nabla(\lambda) = S_{\lambda}$ for each partition λ ; see Theorem 5.8 and Corollary 5.9.

The functor $\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} -$ maps $\Delta(\lambda)$ to $\nabla(\lambda')$ by Proposition 8.4, and it is exact on $\text{Filt}(\Delta)$ by Lemma 8.5. Note that each object $\Lambda^{\lambda} = \Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} \Gamma^{\lambda}$ is projective in $\text{Filt}(\nabla)$ by Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 8.2. Thus the functor $\Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} -$ maps the projective generators of $\text{Filt}(\Delta)$ fully faithfully to projective generators of $\text{Filt}(\nabla)$; see Proposition 8.3. This gives the equivalence. The object $\bigoplus_{\lambda} \Lambda^{\lambda}$ is a characteristic tilting object, because it is a projective generator of $\text{Filt}(\nabla)$; see Corollary 2.6. The property of $\text{rep } \Gamma_k^d$ to be Ringel self-dual follows from Corollary 2.7. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Andrew Hubery for many helpful comments on this subject. Additional thanks are due to Bernard Leclerc for pointing me to [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Akin, D. A. Buchsbaum and J. Weyman, Schur functors and Schur complexes, Adv. in Math. **44** (1982), no. 3, 207–278.
- [2] M. Auslander, Representation theory of Artin algebras. I, Comm. Algebra **1** (1974), 177–268.
- [3] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, in *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*, 5–171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [4] G. Boffi, The universal form of the Littlewood-Richardson rule, Adv. in Math. **68** (1988), no. 1, 40–63.
- [5] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitres 4 à 7*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 864, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [6] A.-L. Cauchy, Mémoire sur les fonctions alternées et sur les sommes alternées, Exercices d’analyse et de phys. math., ii (1841), 151–159; or Œuvres complètes, 2ème série xii, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1916, 173–182.
- [7] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, Finite-dimensional algebras and highest weight categories, J. Reine Angew. Math. **391** (1988), 85–99.
- [8] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, Integral and graded quasi-hereditary algebras. I, J. Algebra **131** (1990), no. 1, 126–160.
- [9] C. de Concini, D. Eisenbud and C. Procesi, Young diagrams and determinantal varieties, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), no. 2, 129–165.
- [10] V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel, The module theoretical approach to quasi-hereditary algebras, in *Representations of algebras and related topics (Kyoto, 1990)*, 200–224, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 168, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [11] S. Donkin, On Schur algebras and related algebras. II, J. Algebra **111** (1987), no. 2, 354–364.
- [12] S. Donkin, On tilting modules for algebraic groups, Math. Z. **212** (1993), no. 1, 39–60.
- [13] P. Doubilet, G.-C. Rota and J. Stein, On the foundations of combinatorial theory. IX. Combinatorial methods in invariant theory, Studies in Appl. Math. **53** (1974), 185–216.
- [14] J. Du and L. Scott, Lusztig conjectures, old and new. I, J. Reine Angew. Math. **455** (1994), 141–182.
- [15] A. I. Efimov, Derived categories of Grassmannians over integers and modular representation theory, arXiv:1410.7462.
- [16] E. M. Friedlander and A. Suslin, Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), no. 2, 209–270.
- [17] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 35, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [18] J. A. Green, *Polynomial representations of GL_n* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 830, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

- [19] J. A. Green, Combinatorics and the Schur algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **88** (1993), no. 1-3, 89–106.
- [20] M. Hashimoto, Schur algebras, Lecture notes, www.math.nagoya-u.ac.jp/~hasimoto/ (2012).
- [21] M. Hashimoto and K. Kurano, Resolutions of determinantal ideals: n -minors of $(n+2)$ -square matrices, *Adv. Math.* **94** (1992), no. 1, 1–66.
- [22] M. Kalck, Derived categories of quasi-hereditary algebras and their derived composition series, this volume.
- [23] B. Keller, Chain complexes and stable categories, *Manuscripta Math.* **67** (1990), no. 4, 379–417.
- [24] S. Koenig, J. Külshammer and S. Ovsienko, Quasi-hereditary algebras, exact Borel subalgebras, A_∞ -categories and boxes, *Adv. Math.* **262** (2014), 546–592.
- [25] H. Krause, Koszul, Ringel and Serre duality for strict polynomial functors, *Compos. Math.* **149** (2013), no. 6, 996–1018.
- [26] H. Krause, Highest weight categories and recollements, preprint 2015, [arXiv:1506.01485](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.01485).
- [27] N. J. Kuhn, Rational cohomology and cohomological stability in generic representation theory, *Amer. J. Math.* **120** (1998), no. 6, 1317–1341.
- [28] J. Külshammer, In the boc's seat: Quasi-hereditary algebras and representation type, this volume.
- [29] A. Lascoux, Polynômes symétriques, foncteurs de Schur et grassmanniens, Thèse, Université Paris 7, 1977.
- [30] V. Mazorchuk and C. Stroppel, Projective-injective modules, Serre functors and symmetric algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **616** (2008), 131–165.
- [31] B. Parshall and L. Scott, Derived categories, quasi-hereditary algebras, and algebraic groups, in *Proceedings of the Ottawa-Moosonee Workshop in Algebra*, Carleton Univ. Notes **3** (1988), 1–105.
- [32] T. Pirashvili, Introduction to functor homology, in *Rational representations, the Steenrod algebra and functor homology*, 1–26, Panor. Synthèses, 16 Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2003.
- [33] D. Quillen, Higher algebraic K -theory. I, in *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., 341, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [34] C. M. Ringel, The category of modules with good filtrations over a quasi-hereditary algebra has almost split sequences, *Math. Z.* **208** (1991), no. 2, 209–223.
- [35] R. Rouquier, q -Schur algebras and complex reflection groups, *Mosc. Math. J.* **8** (2008), no. 1, 119–158.
- [36] B. Totaro, Projective resolutions of representations of $GL(n)$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **482** (1997) 113.
- [37] W. van der Kallen, Nantes lectures on bifunctors and CFG, [arXiv:1208.3097](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3097) (2012).
- [38] I. Schur, Über eine Klasse von Matrizen, die sich einer gegebenen Matrix zuordnen lassen, Dissertation, Universität Berlin, 1901. In *I. Schur, Gesammelte Abhandlungen I*, 1–70, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [39] L. L. Scott, Simulating algebraic geometry with algebra. I. The algebraic theory of derived categories, in *The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups (Arcata, Calif., 1986)*, 271–281, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 47, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [40] J. Weyman, *Cohomology of vector bundles and syzygies*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 149, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.

HENNING KRAUSE, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY.

E-mail address: hkrause@math.uni-bielefeld.de